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Abstract—We extend the Bass diffusion model to capture the dynamic adoption and competitive pricing of two smartphone brands: Apple and Samsung. We use publicly available historical data to regress the model parameters. We find our model to reasonably fit the data, and we provide some insights on the competition between the smartphones brands with respect to our model and the available data.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Bass diffusion model [1], henceforth referred to as Bass Model, is one of the most influential models in marketing used to describe the diffusion process of adoption of a wide class of products and services by consumers [2]. The Bass Model enables realistic predictions on sales growth patterns and peaks of marketed products and services, as it accounts for saturation effects. Formally, the Bass Model is formulated for discrete time periods \( t \geq 1 \) as:

\[
S_t^B = mp + (q - p)Y_{t-1} - \frac{q}{m}Y_{t-1}^2,
\]

where

- \( S_t^B \) is the adoption (i.e., product sales) at time \( t \geq 1 \);
- \( m \) is the total market potential;
- \( p \) is the fraction of the market that adopt the product early and are known as innovators [3];
- \( q \) is the fraction of the market that adopt the product after the innovators and are known as imitators [3]; and

\[
Y_{t-1} = \sum_{t'=1}^{t-1} S_{t'}^B
\]

is the cumulative adoption at time \( t-1 \) and

\[
Y_{t-1}^2
\]

is its squared value.

Many extensions to the Bass Model have been surveyed (cf. [4]-[6]), where the extended models capture additional parameters of the adoption dynamics specific to the studied product or service. An extension of the Bass Model of interest in the current study is one by Gutierrez and He [7], henceforth referred to as Gutierrez-He Model, which accounts for the effects of the time-varying price of the product within the diffusion process. Formally, their model is formulated for discrete time periods \( t \geq 1 \) as:

\[
S_t^{GH} = \left[ pm + (q - p)Y_{t-1} - \left( \frac{q}{m} \right)Y_{t-1}^2 \right] \left( 1 - \gamma R_t \right),
\]

where

- \( S_t^{GH} \) is the adoption at time \( t \geq 1 \);
- \( m \) is the total market potential;
- \( p \) is the fraction of the market that are innovators;
- \( q \) is the fraction of the market that are imitators;
- \( Y_{t-1} = \sum_{t'=1}^{t-1} S_{t'}^{GH} \) is the cumulative adoption at time \( t-1 \);
- \( \gamma \) is the consumer sensitivity to the retail price, and;
- \( R_t \) is the average retail price of the marketed product at time \( t \).

Thus, a change in price of the marketed product induces a change in the predicted adoption by a factor of \( -\gamma \).

In this paper, we present our extension of the Gutierrez-He Model that captures the dynamic sales and pricing between two competing brands of products. More specific, we use our model to analyze the diffusion process of adoption as influenced by the average pricing of Apple and Samsung brands of smartphones. We use publicly available historical data from the vendors’ quarterly earnings reports along with third-party data of smartphone market-shares to regress the parameters to our model. We provide some insights on the competition between Apple and Samsung smartphones with respect to our model and the available data.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We formally describe our model and methods for analysis in Section II. We present the results of our analysis in Section III. We provide a discussion in Section IV. Finally, we summarize this paper in Section V.

II. METHODS

A. Extended Model

We extend the Gutierrez-He Model by accounting for the retail price of a competing product in the prediction of sales. Our diffusion model for product adoption under competitive pricing is formally formulated for discrete time \( t \geq 1 \) as:

\[
S_t^{AZH} = \left[ pm + (q - p)Y_{t-1} - \left( \frac{q}{m} \right)Y_{t-1}^2 \right] \left[ 1 - \gamma (R_t - Q_t) \right],
\]

where
\( S_{t+1}^{AZU} \) is the adoption at time \( t \geq 1 \);
\( m \) is the total market potential;
\( p \) is the fraction of the market that are innovators;
\( q \) is the fraction of the market that are imitators;
\( Y_{t} = \sum_{t=1}^{t} S_{t}^{AZU} \) is the cumulative adoption at time \( t-1 \);
\( \gamma \) is the consumers’ sensitivity to the product price;
\( R \) is the average retail price of the marketed product at time \( t \) and;
\( Q \) is the average retail price of the competing product at time \( t \).

Thus, similar to Gutierrez and He [7], a change in the price difference between the marketed and competing products induces a change in the predicted adoption by a factor of \(-\gamma\).

**B. Data Sources**

We used publicly accessible quarterly earnings reports of Apple [8] and Samsung [9] to obtain their revenues from smartphone sales world-wide. Quarterly Apple iPhone revenues are available from the third quarter of 2007 (i.e., when the original iPhone was released). Quarterly Samsung smartphone revenues are available only from the first quarter of 2010 (i.e., as back-referenced in the first available Samsung quarterly report for the first quarter of 2011), although Samsung’s smartphone production history dates back to 2008 [10]. In the absence of historical Samsung smartphone revenue information, we assumed Samsung smartphone sales started in the first quarter of 2010:

\[
Y_{(t-1)=(2010,0)} = 0. \tag{4}
\]

We converted Samsung sales figures from South Korean Won (KRW) to US Dollars (USD) using historical currency exchange rates from a publicly accessible web service [11].

We used the Apple quarterly reports [8] to obtain the number of iPhones sold globally, which allowed for the calculation of the average iPhone retail price per quarter. Because the Samsung quarterly reports [9] do not include the number of smartphones sold, we used publicly accessible data [12] for the smartphone global market shares of both Apple and Samsung to estimate the missing information. We then derived the quarterly average retail price of Samsung smartphones using the smartphone revenues.

### IV. Discussion

The regression lines for the Bass and Gutierrez-He Models, as well as our model for the current study appear to fit the observed data relatively well. The \( R^2 \) values for quarterly Apple and Samsung smartphone unit sales under the Bass Model (i.e., a linear regression model) are 0.8364 and 0.6028. Additionally, the correlation coefficients (i.e., inadequate statistics for nonlinear models if reported alone [14]) of the Gutierrez-He Model and our model for the iPhone (i.e., 0.9145 and 0.8926, respectively) and Samsung smartphones (i.e., 0.8637 and 0.8709, respectively), along with a visual inspection of their respective regression lines in Fig. 1, indicate a reasonably good fit to the observed data.

We recall that the Gutierrez-He Model for the iPhone has regressed parameter \( \gamma = 0 \) with the given data. An interpretation of this result is that iPhone sales are unaffected

### III. Results

The regressed parameters to the Bass and Gutierrez-He Models, as well as to our current study are shown in Table I. Across all models for the relevant timelines, the regressed average iPhone market potential lies between 1.6 million and 1.8 million customers (i.e., some being repeat customers), and the regressed average Samsung smartphone market potential ranges lies between 2.5 million and 2.6 million customers. The percentage of innovators for the iPhone market is 0.25%–0.71%, while that for Samsung smartphone market is 0.79%–1.10%. The percentage of imitators for the iPhone market is 9.15%–13.25%, while that for the Samsung smartphone market is 9.03%–13.41%. The adoption of Samsung smartphones is negatively impacted by increases in product pricing (i.e., at rate \(-\gamma = 0.000523\)) and competitive pricing gap (i.e., at rate \(-\gamma = 0.000787\)). The adoption of iPhones, however, is unaffected by product pricing (i.e., \( \gamma = 0 \)) and positively impacted by increases in competitive pricing gap (i.e., at rate \(-\gamma = -0.000520\)), which we discuss in the next section.

The corresponding plots for the nonlinear regression lines for (1), (2), and (3) along with the observed smartphone sales data points are shown in Fig. 1. We observe that Panels (a) and (c) in Fig. 1 are the same plots, which result from having regressed parameter \( \gamma = 0 \) in the Gutierrez-He Model for iPhone, so that all other regressed parameters match those of the Bass Model for iPhone. We also observe that Panels (d) and (f) in Fig. 1 are similar (but not exact; cf. the different regression curves for observations at \( t = 30 \), for example), which result from having regressed similar parameters for the Gutierrez-He Model and our model for Samsung smartphones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Bass Model</th>
<th>Gutierrez-He Model</th>
<th>Current Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iPhone</td>
<td>Samsung</td>
<td>iPhone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m )</td>
<td>1,650,041x10^4</td>
<td>2,458,518x10^4</td>
<td>1,650,041x10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>0.002493</td>
<td>0.007898</td>
<td>0.002493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q )</td>
<td>0.132501</td>
<td>0.134107</td>
<td>0.132501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C. Regression Setup

We performed our regression analyses using R [13]. We used the nonlinear regression function \( \text{nls()} \) to find the parameters to the Bass and Gutierrez-He Models, as well as our model in the current study. We verified the function \( \text{nls()} \) to regress the same parameters obtained using the linear regression function \( \text{lm()} \) for the Bass Model, which is in the coefficients.
by their prices due to loyal customers and strong positive effects of word-of-mouth. This phenomenon can be informally observed from the heightened excitement of consumers whenever a new generation of iPhone products is announced and launched.

We also recall that our model for the iPhone has regressed a negative value for parameter $\gamma$ for the given data. This result indicates that increasing the price difference between the iPhone and Samsung smartphones leads to increasing iPhone adoption. While this result appears counterintuitive at first, it does support the iPhone customers’ insensitivity to iPhone price increases without regard to competition (cf. the discussion above on regressed parameter $\gamma = 0$). The positive impact of increasing the price difference between iPhone and Samsung smartphones may result from increasing the gap between the features and technologies used by the competing products. Further investigation of this finding is pending.

The diffusion models and analyses presented could be improved by incorporating additional information on the periodic release [15] of new generations of smartphones. We notice the wave-like patterns in the observed-data plots of Fig. 1, which depict the rise, peak, and fall of generations of smartphones induced by their periodic release along with the corresponding product-substitution behavior of consumers (e.g., upgrading their current smartphone to latest generation or switching over to the latest competing smartphone). However, separable data on the generational release of smartphones are currently proprietary. Despite the unavailability of information, we find our model captures some of the generational release wave-like trends.

Additional data to supplement the incomplete information on unit sales and product pricing will also improve our regression analyses. Currently, we find the publicly accessible data on Samsung smartphone market share, which we used to estimate the number of smartphone units sold globally (i.e., separated from other mobile devices, such as tablets), are inconsistent with the iPhone market share-derived data. Additionally, the averaging of prices of overlapping releases of high-end (e.g., iPhone Plus) and low-end (e.g., iPhone 5C) smartphones may be problematic. Our search for supplemental data to enable more-accurate accounting and subsequent analyses is ongoing.

V. CONCLUSION

Our extension to the Bass Model and the Gutierrez-He Model captures the dynamic sales and competitive pricing of two smartphone brands: Apple and Samsung. We used publicly available historical data to regress the model parameters. Some of the regressed parameters, particularly the pricing-sensitivity parameter $\gamma$ for different models, were initially surprising but had reasonable interpretations. We discussed the relatively good fit of the models to the data, but provided ideas for improvement.
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