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Abstract—We shall measure the US-China trade friction impact in May 2019 on Japanese and US companies’ stock prices. When the President’ remark issued, the stock prices decreased. The decline patterns are in general dependent on the industry fields. We shall find a difference of decline patterns of the stock price movement. The data used are one of Japanese companies that have a lot of transactions with Chinese companies. They are machinery manufacturing companies (B2B transaction ones) and necessities selling companies (B2C ones) such as baby items, cosmetics, and clothing. We traced the time series data changes visually by our developed disaster impact graphs and finally found the pattern difference between the two fields. The machinery manufacturers’ stock prices clearly fall and keep low. The necessities makers’ pattern shows fluctuating declines.

Index Terms—Disaster impact on stock prices, Us-China trade friction, random matrix theory, singular value decomposition, disaster impact network.

I. INTRODUCTION

We have been investigated the time stock price changes. In the Tokyo stock market on the 7th May, 2019, the decline in economic sensitive stocks such as electrical equipment and machinery was noticeable (from Newspaper Nikkei 2019/05/08). The reason of the decline is that on May 5, 2019 President Trump stated that the previous tariffs of 10% levied in $200 billion worth of Chinese goods would be raised to 25% on May 10 [1]. If there exists a trading friction between the USA and China, almost all Japanese companies would have large effects. In the paper, we would like to find the stock price movement patterns among Japanese companies at the trading conflict. Especially, China-related companies in Japan decreased the stock prices. The decline reason is the concern that the global economy will slow down due to the tariff increases. It is remarkable that Chinese orders for control machines and electronic parts decreased then owing to the influence of the trade friction. This is derived from a decrease of a business-to-business (B2B) transaction. Another mode is a business-to-consumer (B2C) transaction. Japanese suppliers offer Chinese customers large amounts of cosmetics and baby products, and so forth. We analyzed the one month stock price movement in the May and then we found that the B2B based company stock price pattern is quite different to one of the B2C based companies. The B2B based companies stock prices could not get recovered quickly, compared to the B2C based companies.

In the next section, we shall explain the data we used and the method. Then In Section III the results of the analysis will be shown and our evaluation on this will be described. Finally, we shall conclude the paper.

II. DATA AND METHOD

In the section, we shall explain the data and method we used. The data is 50 companies titled “Nikkei China-related stocks” in the first section of the Tokyo Stock Exchange. They are the companies selected by Nikkei from major Japanese companies that are actively developing business in China. The data period is from 2019/04/24 to 2019/06/06 of which sales days are 26 days. The method is Random Matrix Theory (abbreviated by RMT). Historically, many physical phenomena have been successfully solved using RMT, and remarkably a great number of applications to finance have arisen during the last years. In 2000, Plerou used RMT to find cross correlations in financial data [2], [3]. Since then in the field of econophysics, RMT has been widely used to make portfolios and to monitor company network [4], [4]-[12].

The RMT math process is SVD (Singular Value Decomposition) [13], [14]. The SVD is used in various kinds of applications; For example, the text mining LSA (Latent Semantic Analysis) uses the SVD. Concerning the SVD math process, Shirota et al. visually explain the intrinsic meanings and interpretation of the eigenvectors/principal components, so that readers can easily and deeply understand SVD [15]. In RMT, we conduct the SVD on the standardized return values of stock price data [2], [16], [17].

The return value is the ratio between today’s price and the previous day’s one and defined as follows: 

\[ G_{ij} = \ln(S_{ij}/S_{ij-1}) \]

where \( S_{ij} \) is the ith company’s stock price on j-th day and \( G_{ij} \) is the return value on j-th day. As that is a natural logarithm of the ratio, if the today’s price is greater than the precious one, then the ratio will be greater than one and the logarithm value will be positive. And if the today’s price is less than the precious one, then the ratio will be less than one and the logarithm value will be negative.

Because different stock values have varying ranges of means and volatilities, the return values should in advance be standardized, so the mean value becomes 0 and the standard deviation becomes 1.

The proposed method is conducted as follows: First, we conduct SVD on the time series data matrix X of stock price return values, so that we can obtain, as the output, the matrices U, V, and \( V^T \). Then, we have gotten two kinds of
eigenvectors obtained by (U W) and by (S V^T). We call the eigenvectors (1) Brand-Eigenvector, obtained by (U W), and (2) Dailymotion-Eigenvector, obtained by (S V^T). The principal component means a company group with a similar movement. For example, we can get a bank group, a telephone group, an automaker group, and so forth. A principal component can show a damage pattern when the target is damaged companies.

Let us explain the RMT. We represent eigenvalues of the Brand-Eigenvectors and Dailymotion-Eigenvectors as \{\sqrt{\lambda_i}\} . On the other hand, we make the matrix \(C = \frac{1}{T}XX^T\) which is called the correlation matrix. The eigenvectors of the correlation matrix \(C\) are \{\lambda_i\} . The proof for the calculation is shown in [15]. RMT offers the eigenvalue distribution of the correlation matrix of which data is random one follows

\[
\rho(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_+ - \lambda}{\lambda - \lambda_-}}
\]

\[
\lambda = 1 + \frac{1}{Q} \pm 2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{Q}} , \quad \lambda_- < \lambda < \lambda_+
\]

\[
Q = \frac{T}{N}
\]

\(\rho(\lambda)\) shows the random range. The constraint to use the expressions \(\rho(\lambda)\) exists and that is \(Q > 1\) and \(N > 300\) where the matrix X size is N (companies) times T (days) [4]. Then, we would like to extract the principal components’ eigenvalues \(\lambda\) which exists outside the random range which satisfy \(\lambda > \lambda_+\).

However, it is difficult for us to meet the constraint \(Q > 1\) and \(N > 300\) and to obtain such a large data set. If we can use the hourly or every minute data, we can meet the constraint \(N > 300\). However we use the database Nikkei financial quest by Nikkei (http://finquest.nikkeidb.or.jp/ver2/online/) which offers only daily data. We cannot obtain the hourly data from the database. In general, it is impossible for us university researchers to use the hourly data. Plerou also used the database. In other words, that is the membrane musical instrument is hit. Then gradually the disaster effect decreases and the eigenvalue of the featured principal component also decreases and finally disappears. How should we measure the boundary of those? In other words, that is the normality test. To conduct the normality tests for the correlation matrix \(C\), we observe the probability distribution of the matrix C elements (See Fig. 1). Since a perfect normal distribution would have skewness zero and kurtosis 3, we may see whether the distribution of the elements of \(C\) in the periods of crisis are not normal [10].

Another measurement is the distribution of eigenvalues of the matrix \(C\). First we plot the eigenvalues in order of magnitude (See Figure 2). Then we observe a frequency distribution (histogram) of the eigenvalues of the \(C\) with the above-mentioned \(\rho(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_+ - \lambda}{\lambda - \lambda_-}}\) (See Fig. 3).

![Fig. 1. Distribution of the correlation matrix C elements of the 6th period which is skewed from a normal distribution.](image)

![Fig. 2. The original 24 eigenvalues of ones in Fig. 1.](image)

![Fig. 3. Frequency distribution of the eigenvalues of the correlation matrix C and the theoretical distribution \(\rho(\lambda)\) is superimposed on it.](image)

Although the matrix \(C\) we use cannot meet the normality constraint \(Q > 1\) and \(N > 300\), that distribution \(\rho(\lambda)\) is helpful when we extract the skewed/featured eigenvalues. Suppose that we obtained 24 principal components from the SVD and the eigenvalues are supposed to be as shown in Fig. 2. An eigenvalue is an impact factor of the corresponding principal component. From Fig. 2, we can see that the first principal component is the dominant one, compared to others. To measure the amplitudes, we use the Lorentz curve [4]. Fig. 4 shows an example Lorentz curve. The raw eigenvalues are shown in Fig. 2. The impact of \(\lambda_i\) is defined as \(P_i(\lambda_i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \lambda_i\). In Fig. 4 Lorentz curve, the first, second, and third
eigenvalues dominate 54% of the whole eigenvalues’ strength in which the theory says that \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i = N \).

The interpretation of the principal component direction with positive/negative must be done by our decision. The positive side and negative side in the same principal component show the opposite meaning. For example, the positive numbers show the damage level and then the negative numbers show the non-damage level. The direction itself has no meaning. To explain that, let’s consider the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) [13]. In PCA, the direction of the principal component has no meaning (See Fig. 5). It does not matter which direction is positive. The important thing is the interpretation of the positive/negative meanings.

The days of our input data are 2019/4/24, 2019/4/25, 2019/4/26, 2019/5/7, 2019/5/8, 2019/5/9, and so forth. Then the starting day is fixed to be 2019/04/24. The period 4 means the period from 2019/04/24 to 2019/5/7 of which input matrix size becomes 50 (companies) times 3 (return values), because the return value is a ratio. The period 5 means the period from 2019/04/24 to 2019/5/8 of which input matrix size becomes 50 times 4. We would like to investigate the time series change of principal components of these period 4 to period 26.

To investigate the time series change visually, we have developed the graph drawing system named “Disaster Impact Network” drawing system. The resultant sample network is showed in Fig. 6 which consists of GROUP#-1 and GROUP#-2. We can see that there are three companies have both features of GROUP#-1 and GROUP#-2. The figure shows the company’s element value in the GROUP.

The network drawing algorithm of the Disaster Impact Graph will be explained. First, we give the system the data of the diffusion origin company which means an epicenter such as Nikon. The user selects the origin company as one on which he/she is interested. The drawing system traces the correlations of the origin company.

Another important parameter is the threshold value to select representative companies among each Brand-Eigenvector. Suppose that we take the period 25. Then the input matrix size is 50 times 24. The number of eigenvectors becomes 24 which is \( \min(50, 24) \). Each eigenvector has 50 company elements. If the threshold is given 2.0, then companies with its element value > 2.0 or < -2.0 are selected as representatives. Then from one GROUP, the positive side group and the negative side group are made such as GROUP#+2 and GROUP#-2.

The drawing algorithm of the disaster impact network is as follows:
1. Set the matrix and execute the SVD
2. Find GROUPs of the origin company and draw the network around the origin company; the company may belong to several GROUPs.
3. For each GROUP among the above GROUPs, find the representative companies and draw the graph of the GROUP.
4. The depth of the network tree is another parameter which defines the depth of the tree from the origin company. If the depth is two, then the tree of depth two from the origin will be drawn.
III. EVALUATION

In the section, we shall explain the features from the time series change set of the principal components depending on the periods from 4 to 26. First let’s see the period 24 in Fig. 7. We found that the machinery companies (GROUP #-1) and the daily necessities companies (GROUP #+2) were clearly separated on the period 24. The origin company there was “FANUC”, and other parameters were the depth 2, and the threshold 2.0. Let’s see the inside of the GROUPs. The GROUP #1 includes many manufacturing companies with a lot of demands in China. In addition, GROUP #1 also includes the general trading companies that trade with China. The manufacturing companies include machinery, electronics, and automobiles. On the other hand, GROUP #2 consists of daily necessities companies; they are the two large shopping mall companies, the two baby diaper makers, the company of baby bottle disinfectant and pacifier, and the cosmetics maker. One general trading company and one electronics company are also included in GROUP #2.

Because the first eigenvalue is greater than the second eigenvalue, the impact of GROUP #1 is greater than one of GROUP #2 (See Fig. 2).

Then we can say that the damages of manufacturing companies were greater than ones of the daily necessities companies. There exists one company “SEVEN&I holdings” in the shared area of both GROUP #1 and #+2. Later we will describe the company again.

In Fig. 8, two graphs of stock prices comparison (not return values) are shown. KOMATSU and Hitachi Construction Machinery are representatives of GROUP #1 with element values -3.9 and -3.7. On the other hand, AEON and KAO are representatives of GROUP #2 with element values 3.5 and 3.4. KOMATSU and Hitachi CM had a large decline from third to 6th day and then continued to keep low. On the other hand, AEON and KAO had a decline on 6th or 7th day but they showed quick recovery at least on 13th day but again they decreased from 19th to 24th approximately.

![Fig. 7. Disaster impact network of the period 24 (2019/04/24 to 2019/06/04).](image)

![Fig. 8. Stock price comparisons of different company groups’ representatives.](image)
to change easily due to some effects. We think that the latter consumption can be easily changed upward or downward. Then the stock price in general tends to fluctuate.

Then let us trace the two groups movement. In Fig. 4, the period 25 disaster impact network is shown. Compared to the 24 period (Fig. 5), GROUP#-1 then has no large change, keeping to be the most damaged group. GROUP#+2 has no large change, either. However, AEON (the shopping mall company) and Pigeon (the baby bottle disinfectant company) are added as the representatives with GROUP#-1. Then, the two greatest shopping mall companies Seven & i and AEON have had the both GROUP features in Fig. 4. We think that that may be a symptom of a fusion of the two GROUPs.

Anyway, we can say that the stock prices of companies selling necessities are susceptible to external influences and tend to change.

IV. NORMALITY TESTS FOR THE CORRELATION MATRIX

In the section, we shall investigate whether the correlation matrix is at random status or not. What we are interested in is only the status not at random. In addition, we would like to extract the eigenvalues out of the range of random ones. In Fig. 9, the skewness of the distribution of the Matrix C elements. First on the period 4 and 5 a large negative skewness is shown.

![Skewness of the distribution of the correlation matrix C elements](image)

**Fig. 9. Skewness of the distribution of the correlation matrix C elements from the fourth period to the 26th period.**

![Lorenz curve](image)

**Fig. 10. Lorenz curve of the 24th period.**

![Frequency distribution](image)

**Fig. 11. Frequency distribution of the eigenvalues of the correlation matrix C of the 24th period and the theoretical distribution ρ(λ) superimposed on it.**

Then the skewness decreases gradually and finally changes the skewness from negative to positive. Just skewness movement, we cannot identify whether the period 24th has enough skewed or not. Then, we see the Lorenz curve of the eigenvalue amplitudes (See Fig. 10).

The first and second ones dominate about 50% of the whole amplitudes. Seeing Fig. 11 which shows the frequency distribution of the period 24th eigenvalues, we can see that at least one eigenvalue is greater than the ρ(λ) range. From the Lorenz curve and the frequency distribution of the eigenvalues, we can say that on the 24th period the first and second principal components are not random ones. Then we can convince the two GROUPs are effects by the disaster.

V. EVALUATION

In the section, we survey the US companies to know whether we can spot the difference between machinery manufacturing companies and necessities production companies as well as the Japanese companies.

![Stock prices](image)

**Fig. 12. Stock prices of Apple, Deere, and Caterpillar.**

![Stock prices](image)

**Fig. 13. Stock prices of NIKE, Lululemon, and V.F.**

The data we used is stock prices of New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). The data period is the same as the Japanese stock data; they are from 2019/04/24 to 2019/06/06. The selected companies from NYSE are as follows:

(1) machinery: Caterpillar, Deere, and Apple and
(2) necessities: NIKE, Lululemon, V.F.

When we select the companies, we refereed the U.S. Company Handbook 2019 Spring/Summer by Toyokeizai-shinpousha (https://toyokeizai.net). Caterpillar is a construction equipment maker and a similar company to KOMATSU and Hitachi Construction Machinery. Deere is listed as the rival company of Caterpillar in the U.S. Company Handbook 2019. In addition, as the representative US manufacturing company, we added Apple. In advance, we standardize the company’s data. As a result, we can see those stock prices decreased clearly as shown in Fig. 12.

As the necessities manufacturers, we selected three apparel manufacturers that the handbook featured. They are NIKE, Lululemon, and V.F. Corp. We could not find the counterpart companies in US of the above-mentioned Japanese companies; they are cosmetics, disposal diapers, shopping
malls and so forth.

NIKE is one of the biggest worldwide apparel makers and offers “The North Face”, “Vans”, “Timberland”, “Wrangler” and “Lee.” Nike is famous for the basket shoes. Lululemon is a sports fashion brand. Although we are not sure how the companies’ sales depend on China purchasing power, after the President remark in May 2019 the stock prices of them decreased. Probably the President remark affected the three companies. The decline was fluctuating as shown in Fig. 13. Seeing the decrease patterns, we saw the difference between the machinery manufacturing companies and apparel makers in US companies as well as Japanese companies.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We surveyed the US-China Trade conflict effect in May 2019 on Japanese companies. We conducted RMT on the stock price data of 50 companies that are actively developing business in China, so that we could investigate the effects of the trading conflict between USA and China. The data period is from 2019/04/24 to 2019/06/06 of which sales days are 26 days. From the result, we found that machinery companies drastically decreased stock prices from 2019/05/07 to 2019/05/10 and then continued to keep low. Large difficulties are found when the companies got recovered from the decline; another pattern was shown in the daily commodities companies. They are two shopping mall companies, the two baby diaper makers, the company of baby bottle disinfectant and pacifier, and the cosmetics maker. Owing to Chinese large demand power, they sell a lot of daily commodities in China. Their stock movement pattern was a decline on 2019/05/09 or 2019/05/10 and showed a fluctuation and flexible recover after that. The similar patterns can be found in the same period and in the US machinery manufacturing companies and clothing companies. We would like to analyze continuously the effect of the trading war.
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